

Monotype Semantics

Dr. Mattox Beckman

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Objectives

- ▶ Explain the parts of a type judgment.
- ▶ Build proof trees to indicate the derivation of a type for a program.
- ▶ Explain the circumstances under which a type environment can be modified.

The Language

- ▶ We are going to type λ -calculus extended with `let`, `if`, arithmetic, and comparisons.

$L ::=$	$\lambda x.L$	abstractions
	$L L$	applications
	<code>let</code> $x = L$ <code>in</code> L	let expressions
	<code>if</code> L <code>then</code> L <code>else</code> L	if expressions
	E	expressions
$E ::=$	x	variables
	n	integers
	b	booleans
	$E \oplus E$	integer operations
	$E \sim E$	integer comparisons
	$E \&\& E$	boolean and
	$E E$	boolean or

Format of a Type Judgment

A *type judgment* has the following form:

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \alpha$$

where Γ is a *type environment*, e is some expression, and α is a *type*.

- ▶ $\Gamma \vdash \text{if true then } 4 \text{ else } 38 : \text{Int}$
- ▶ $\Gamma \vdash \text{true \&\& false} : \text{Bool}$

Note: the \vdash is pronounced “turnstile” or “entails.”

The Parts of a Rule

Assumptions on top

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \oplus e_2 : \text{Int}} \text{BINOP}$$

Conclusion on the bottom

- ▶ If a rule has no assumptions, then it is called an *axiom*.
- ▶ Γ is a set of the form $\{x : \alpha; \dots\}$.
- ▶ Γ may be left out if we don't need a type environment.
- ▶ **Basic idea:** the meaning of an expression can be determined by combining the meaning of its parts.

Axioms

Constants

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \text{Int}} \text{CONST, when } n \text{ is an integer.}$$

Similarly for True and False.

Variables

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \alpha} \text{VAR, when } x : \alpha \in \Gamma$$

- ▶ Here, α is a *type variable*; it stands for another type.
- ▶ These are rules that are true no matter what the context is.

Simple Rules

Binary Arithmetic

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \oplus e_2 : \text{Int}} \text{BINOP}$$

Integer Relations

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{RELOP}$$

Booleans Ops

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \&\& e_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{BOOLOP}$$

You can actually conflate these rules by using signatures.

Example 0

Suppose we want to prove that $\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}$.
Assume that $\Gamma = \{x : \text{Int} ; y : \text{Bool} \}$

First thing: Write down the thing you are trying to prove, and put a bar over it.

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}}$$

Look at the *outermost* expression. What rule applies here?

Example 0

Suppose we want to prove that $\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}$.

Assume that $\Gamma = \{x : \text{Int} ; y : \text{Bool}\}$

First thing: Write down the thing you are trying to prove, and put a bar over it.

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}}$$

Look at the *outermost* expression. What rule applies here?

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \&\& e_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{ BOOLOP}$$

Example 0

Suppose we want to prove that $\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}$.

Assume that $\Gamma = \{x : \text{Int} ; y : \text{Bool} \}$

Write parts on top and put a bar over them as well.

$$\frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash x * 5 > 7 : \text{Bool}} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash y : \text{Bool}}}{\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}} \text{ BOOLOP}$$

What to do next? Let's work left to right. The expression we want next is a "greater" expression. (Besides, the y expression is already an axiom.)

Example 0

Suppose we want to prove that $\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}$.
Assume that $\Gamma = \{x : \text{Int} ; y : \text{Bool} \}$

Following the “greater” rule, we break the $x * 5 > 7$ into two parts.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash x * 5 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash x * 5 > 7 : \text{Bool}}}{\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}} \text{RELOP}}{\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}} \text{BOOLOP}$$

We will turn our attention to the multiplication now.

Example 0

Suppose we want to prove that $\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}$.

Assume that $\Gamma = \{x : \text{Int} ; y : \text{Bool} \}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash x : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash x * 5 : \text{Int}} \text{VAR} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash 5 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash x * 5 : \text{Int}} \text{BINOP}}{\Gamma \vdash x * 5 > 7 : \text{Bool}} \text{RELOP} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash 7 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash x * 5 > 7 : \text{Bool}} \text{CONST}}{\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}} \text{VAR} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash y : \text{Bool}}{\Gamma \vdash (x * 5 > 7) \&\& y : \text{Bool}} \text{BOOLOP}$$

At this point, there are no more subtrees to expand out. We are done.

Type Variables in Rules

A *monotype* τ can be a

- ▶ Type constant (e.g., `Int`, `Bool`, etc.)
- ▶ Instantiated type constructor (e.g., `[Int]`, `Int → Int`)
- ▶ A type variable α

If Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \alpha \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : \alpha} \text{IF}$$

- ▶ Here, α is a meta-variable.
- ▶ This rule says that `if` can result in any type, as long as the `then` and `else` branches have the same type. This could even include functions.

Function Application

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \alpha_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \alpha} \text{FUN}$$

- ▶ If you have a function of type $\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha$ and an argument e_2 of type α_2 , then applying e_1 to e_2 will produce an expression of type α .
- ▶ You can generalize this rule to multiple arguments.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{incList} : [\text{Int}] \rightarrow [\text{Int}] \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{xx} : [\text{Int}]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{incList xx} : [\text{Int}]} \text{FUN}$$

Function Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \alpha_1\} \vdash e : \alpha_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2} \text{ABS}$$

- ▶ Important point: this rule describes types and also describes when you may change Γ .
- ▶ You may **NOT** change Γ except as described!

Example: show that $\{\} \vdash \lambda x. x + 1 : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$.

Function Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \alpha_1\} \vdash e : \alpha_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2} \text{ABS}$$

- ▶ Important point: this rule describes types and also describes when you may change Γ .
- ▶ You may **NOT** change Γ except as described!

$$\frac{}{\{\} \vdash \lambda x. x + 1 : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}} \text{ABS}$$

Function Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \alpha_1\} \vdash e : \alpha_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2} \text{ABS}$$

- ▶ Important point: this rule describes types and also describes when you may change Γ .
- ▶ You may **NOT** change Γ except as described!

$$\frac{\overline{\{x : \text{Int}\} \vdash x + 1 : \text{Int}}}{\{\} \vdash \lambda x. x + 1 : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}} \text{ABS}$$

Function Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : \alpha_1\} \vdash e : \alpha_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2} \text{ABS}$$

- ▶ Important point: this rule describes types and also describes when you may change Γ .
- ▶ You may **NOT** change Γ except as described!

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{x : \text{Int}\} \vdash x : \text{Int}} \text{VAR}}{\{x : \text{Int}\} \vdash x + 1 : \text{Int}} \text{BINOP} \quad \frac{}{\{x : \text{Int}\} \vdash 1 : \text{Int}} \text{CONST}}{\{\} \vdash \lambda x. x + 1 : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}} \text{ABS}}$$

Let Rule

- ▶ Here is `let`. Note that `HASKELL` uses the recursive rule, and it is polymorphic.

Let

$$\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \cup [x : \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2}}{\text{LET}}$$

Letrec

$$\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma \cup [x : \tau_1] \vdash e_1 : \tau_1} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \cup [x : \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2}}{\text{LETREC}}$$